

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/042,894	Applicant(s) SHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Stuart F. Baum	Art Unit 1638	

All Participants:

(1) Stuart F. Baum.

(2) Kathryn K. Lappegard.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

3, 4, 6, 8, 10

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner's Amendment was approved by Applicants' representative putting claims in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)